CO₂ LASER-INDUCED FORMATION OF CARBONYL FLUORIDE AND SULFUR TETRAFLUORIDE FROM CARBON MONOXIDE AND SULFUR HEXAFLUORIDE

Josef Pola

Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 165 02 Prague 6 - Suchdol

Received January 29th, 1980

Reaction of SF₆ with CO was initiated with a cw CO₂ laser and shown to represent a system of two competitive consecutive reactions, namely the reaction between SF₆ and CO affording COF₂ and SF₄, and the reaction between SF₄ and CO leading to SOF₂ and CF₄. The former, major, reaction is favored with lower consumption of SF₆ and lower SF₆/CO ratio in the initial SF₆-CO mixture.

Molecular excitation by means of infrared lasers has recently been recognized as a convenient technique for inducing chemical reactions of high activation energy¹⁻⁵. While frequent discussions of laser-specific versus thermal features of the laser--initiated reactions arrived not always at the same inferences⁶, some experiments in the recent past^{7,8} have shown that cw infrared lasers can induce vibrationally enhanced reaction rates in gases at pressures up to several tens kPa. This fact implies that some reactions not observable at sufficiently high temperatures could be forced to occur at finite rates as a consequence of photons absorption by the reactant(s). With regard to this opinion of rather general validity only a few examples of such reactions exist in the literature^{7,9-11}.

As for the reaction between sulfur hexafluoride and carbon monoxide, none was observed¹² to take place at conditions up to 500° C and 400 MPa. We now report a cw CO₂ laser-induced reaction between these two compounds yielding useful reagents for synthetic organic chemistry, carbonyl fluoride and sulfur tetrafluoride.

EXPERIMENTAL

A cw CO_2 — N_2 —He laser constructed¹³ in our laboratory was used for irradiation of gaseous SF_6 -CO mixtures. The laser was operated at the P(34) line of the $00^\circ 1 \rightarrow 10^\circ 0$ transition with 16 W power. The irradiations were performed in a cylindrical stainless steel cell of 11 cm path length and 2.5 cm inner diameter having NaCl entrance and exit windows and one needle valve. In a typical experiment the cell had been filled with on a standard vacuum line premixed carbon monoxide and sulfur hexafluoride and an initial infrared spectrum was taken. The sample was then irradiated with a laser beam focused into the entrance window and the extent of reaction

was followed by periodically taking the infrared spectrum of the sample. An infrared spectrometer Perkin-Elmer 621 was used to analyze the final depletion of SF₆, as well as to monitor the accumulation of reaction products — carbonyl fluoride, thionyl fluoride and sulfur tetrafluoride. The absorption bands at 1945 cm⁻¹ (v_1), 1333 cm⁻¹ (v_1), and 867 cm⁻¹, (v_6) were employed to follow COF₂, SOF₂, and SF₄, respectively. The depletion of SF₆ was checked by the absorption band at 1987 cm⁻¹ ($v_2 + v_6$). In order to know the absorption coefficients, the pure samples of carbonyl fluoride¹⁴ and sulfur tetrafluoride¹⁵ were prepared by a treatment of phosgene and sulfur dichloride with SoF₃ catalyzed with SbCl₅. Sulfur hexafluoride (Montedison, Milano, I.E.C. standard) and carbon monoxide (Chemické závody, Sokolov) were commercial products.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reaction between sulfur hexafluoride and carbon monoxide was investigated for different initial ratios of these compounds at total pressure of the reaction mixture 2.7 kPa. The cw CO₂ laser radiation at 931 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the P(34) 10.6 µ transition is strongly absorbed by SF₆ in its v₃ vibrational mode and induces, as detected by infrared analysis, formation of carbonyl fluoride and sulfur tetrafluoride along with minor amount of thionyl fluoride and tetrafluoromethane. The initial rate for the COF₂ and SOF₂ formation versus the initial composition of the SF₆-CO mixture is given on Fig. 1. It appears that both initial rates increase with higher SF₆ content, but the initial rate increase is much more significant for COF₂ than for SOF₂. Furthermore, these products ratio (COF₂/SOF₂) is not constant during the reaction course, but it diminishes (Fig. 2). Very important feature of the reaction



Fig. 1

Initial Rate, v_0 in kPa s⁻¹, for 1 COF₂ and 2 SOF₂ Formation vs mol. % of SF₆ in Initial SF₆-CO Mixture





Dependence of COF_2/SOF_2 Product Ratio (r) upon Time for Different Initial mol.% of SF_6 (1 73%, 2 60%, and 3 48%) in SF_6 -CO Mixture

between SF₆ and CO is also the dependence of the COF₂/SOF₂ ratio upon the initial SF₆/CO ratio (Fig. 2); the formation of COF₂ dominates over that of SOF₂ much more noticeably for lower content of SF₆ in the initial SF₆-CO mixture. Additionally, the formation of COF₂ proceeds at these conditions more slowly than at higher partial pressure of SF₆. The analysis of the product distribution for various reaction range studied (60-100% conversion) revealed that SF₄ and COF₂ are produced in about equimolar amounts up to 50-70% conversion (depending on the initial SF₆/CO ratio). Fig. 3a shows the dependence of the product (COF₂ and SOF₂) distribution upon the reaction time and illustrates the occurrence of the maximum for the COF₂-SOF₂ pressure difference in the case of the initial mixture SF₆ (2 kPa)--CO (0·67 kPa). The dependence of the COF₂-SOF₂ pressure difference vs time closely resembles the plot of the SF₄ absorptivity vs time in a sense that both maxima belong to the same region of the reaction time. For a lower SF₆ content in the initial SF₆-CO mixture (Fig. 3b) such maxima become less apparent. These observations suggest that the reactions occurring in the cell can be written as Scheme 1.

$$n_0(\mathrm{SF}_6)^{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{laser radiation}} \sum_i n_i(\mathrm{SF}_6)^{\mathbf{v}\geq\mathbf{0}} + n^*\mathrm{SF}_6^*$$
 (A)

$$SF_6^* + CO \longrightarrow COF_2 + SF_4$$
 (B)

$$SF_4 + CO \longrightarrow SOF_2 + :CF_2$$
 (C)

SCHEME 1



FIG. 3

Product Distribution (1 COF_2 , 2 SOF_2 and 3 COF_2 - SOF_2) as Function of Reaction Time Samples of CO (0.67 kPa)- SF_6 (2 kPa) (a), and CO- SF_6 (both 1.3 kPa) (b) were irradiated with focused laser radiation of 16 W output. We presume collisionally induced V-V vibrational excitation of SF_6 (Eq. (A)) producing the SF_6^* molecules activated sufficiently to react with CO and afford COF_2 and SF_4 (Eq. (B)). The SF_4 formed undergoes subsequent reaction with CO and yields SOF_2 and probably : CF_2 species (Eq. (C)). The reaction (C) can rationalize the SOF_2 and : CF_2 formation assuming the known ability of SF_4 to replace carbonyl oxygen with a gem-difluoro group¹⁷. The route from difluorocarbene to tetrafluoromethane that was found among the reaction products of the laser-induced reaction and is the main product of the thermal reaction¹⁸ between CO and SF_4 occurring at temperatures near $500^{\circ}C$ remains, however, unclear. We are aware of the stepwise replacement of the oxygen in CO₂ by SF_4 proceeding at $500^{\circ}C$ in the course of which reaction between intermediately formed COF_2 and SF_4 takes place¹⁸ to give CF_4 and SOF_2 . The recognition of the occurrence of the reaction products during the aser experiment is, however, in keeping with the suggestion that this reaction has to be rejected or assumed to proceed in a negligent extent.

Both reactions (B) and (C) represent a system of competitive consecutive reactions with the reaction (B) being appreciably faster than reaction (C). Figs 3a and 3b reflect the contribution of the reactions (B) and (C) to the reaction Scheme 1 for different initial ratio of reagents and illustrate (along with Fig. 2) that lower SF_6/CO initial ratio makes the both reactions slower but simultaneously favors reaction (B) over reaction (C). The facts imply that rate constants of reaction (B) and (C) change unequally with the variation in the initial SF_6/CO ratio. Trying to cast more light on that observation, we checked the influence of the addition of helium (0.7-1.3 kPa) to the initial SF_6-CO mixture (total pressure 2.7 kPa) but found this effect to result in the complete inhibition of the COF_2 and SOF_2 formation.

We assume that following explanation of the increased reaction selectivity for lower initial SF_6/CO ratio sounds probable. Increasing amount of CO in the initial SF_6-CO mixture should result in a collisional deactivation of (possibly vibrationally) excited SF_6 molecules and be detremental to the reaction (*B*). Even greater decrease in the reaction (*C*) might, however, be compatible with the fact that reaction (*C*) is initiated by activated SF_4 , whose energy content diminishes with the decreasing initial SF_6/CO ratio more significantly than that of SF_6 . Alternatively, the reaction selectivity observed for reactions (*B*) and (*C*) may, perhaps, be in harmony with relatively lower activation energy for the reaction (*B*).

In summary then we should like to point out that the present article demonstrates the feasibility of the laser stimulated reaction as a synthetic tool. As stated in introduction, no reaction between SF₆ and CO takes place¹² at 500°C and 400 MPA. However, using laser technique this reaction can be induced photochemically at low pressure and room temperature and it affords, in short reaction time, COF₂ and SF₄ which are for their ability to act as fluorinating agents (both COF₂ and SF₄) and insertion agent of the >C=O group (COF₂) very useful compounds in preparative organic chemistry.

REFERENCES

- 1. Karlov N. V.: Appl. Opt. 13, 301 (1974).
- 2. Knudtson J. T., Eyring E. M.: Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 25, 255 (1974).
- Grunwald E., Dever D. F., Keehn P. M.: Megawatt Infrared Laser Chemistry. Wiley, New York 1978.
- 4. Panfilov V. N., Molin Y. N.: Usp. Khim. 47, 967 (1978).
- 5. Grunwald E., Olszyna K.J .: Laser Focus 12, 41 (1976).
- Kimel S. in the book: Laser-Induced Processes in Molecules (K. L. Kompa, S. D., Smith, Eds), p. 265. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1979.
- 7. Bachman H. R., Nöth H., Rinck R., Kompa K. L.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 33, 261 (1975).
- 8. Zitter R. N., Foster D. F.: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 99, 5941 (1977).
- Basov N. G., Markin E. N., Oraevskii A. N., Pankratov A. V., Skachkov A. N.: Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 14, 251 (1971).
- 10. Bachman H. R., Nöth H., Rinck R., Kompa L.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 29, 627 (1974).
- 11. Bachman H. R., Rinck R., Nöth H., Kompa K. L.: Chem. Phys. Lett. 45, 169 (1977).
- 12. Hagen A. P., Callaway B. W.: Inorg. Chem. 14, 2825 (1975).
- 13. Engst P., Pola J., Horák M.: This Journal 44, 406 (1979).
- 14. Fawcett F. S., Tullock C. W., Coffman D. D.: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 84, 4275 (1962).
- Tullock C. W., Fawcett F. C., Smith W. C., Coffman D. D.: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 82, 539 (1960).
- 16. Booth H. S., Mericola F. C.: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 62, 640 (1950).
- 17. Boswell G. A., Ripka W. C., Scribner R. M., Tullock C. W.: Organic Reactions 21, 1 (1974).
- 18. Hasek W. R., Smith W. C., Engelhardt V. A.: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 82, 543 (1960).

Translated by the author.